

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER   | APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER  HO, THOMAS M PAPER NUM  ART UNIT PAPER NUM | 09/943,683                        | 08/30/2001  | Hideaki Watanabe     | 09792909-5124       | 9983            |
| P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER  ART UNIT PAPER NUM   | 26263 7590 01/30/2006             |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER  ART UNIT PAPER NUM   | SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP |             |                      | HO, THOMAS M        |                 |
| WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER   |                                   |             |                      | ARTINIT             | PAPER NUMBER    |
| CHICAGO. IL 60606-1080 2134   | CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080            |             |                      |                     | - TATER NOMBER  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/943,683      | WATANABE ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
|                 |                 |  |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 

⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims recite further limitations to both the amended independent claims and their dependent claims and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: It is to the Examiner's understanding that two set of amended claims and arguments appear to have been filed. According to the Examiner's records, a request for consideration, along with correctly underlined amended claims were filed on 12/7/05. Additionally, a second request for reconsideration and amended claims, apparently identical to the first set of amended claims was filed on 12/8/05. However, the second set of amended claims does not appear to have any markings denoting the particular amended subject matter, while the amendment of 12/7/05 do. The request for reconsideration remarks that claims 1, 17, and 30 have been amended, which they appear to be in the amended claims filed 12/7/05. The Examiner has not found any deficiency in the request for reconsideration and amended claims of 12/7/05. The Examiner is uncertain as to the reason why two requests for reconsideration and two sets of amended claims were filed both on 12/7/05, and 12/8/05. However, the latter request appears to be deficient at least in that no markings were made to denote the amended subject matter in the claims. The Examiner has therefore only considered the amended matter and request for reconsideration filed 12/7/05 for this advisory action.